

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/867,803 05/31/2001 Lawrence J. Choi 1005/006 6606 08/30/2004 EXAMINER Michael N. Haynes PHAM, KHANH B 1341 Huntersfield Close ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Keswick, VA 22947 2177

DATE MAILED: 08/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

- Advisory Action	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/867,803	CHOI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Khanh B. Pham	2177	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 02 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Applexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this application (1) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper repl th places the applica	y to a ation in
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mab. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expired ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WT06.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The same period fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Common timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 3	is Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth re later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF and of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply office later than three months after the ma	ng date of the final rejecting the FINAL REJECTION. FR 1.136(a) and the appropunt of the fee. The approprincing the final the final	on. See MPEP ropriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellar 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR)			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:		
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require fur	ther consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	e below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n in better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceNOTE:	eling a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	S.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	lld be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fapplication in condition for allowance because:		idered but does NO	T place the
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.			
.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	s:		
Claim(s) allowed: None.			
Claim(s) objected to: 3 and 4.			
Claim(s) rejected: 1,2 and 5-8.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None.			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) a	oproved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	nent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). ַ	·	

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

JOHN BREENE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED TECHNOLOGY CINENA

10. Other: __

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants submitted new arguments which change the scope of the claims by incorporating definitions of the terms "mode" and "purposeful probability" into the claims; and therefore raise new issues that would require further search and consideration.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY